

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/718,820	11/21/2003	Alexander Miller	4452-587	1340	
27799 75	90 08/30/2005		EXAMINER		
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10176		3683		
			DATE MAILED, 00 00 000	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/718,82	20	MILLER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thomas J		3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. days, a reply within the state tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the lication to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 July 2005.						
· —	,)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	,							
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers			•				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	k(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/7/04;10/12/04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/718,820 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made in the receipt of the oath filed March 22, 2004, the information disclosure statements filed June 7, 2004 and October 12, 2004.

2. Applicant's election of Species/Group 3 in the reply filed on July 28, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,767,010 to Miller et al.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Re-claim 1, Miller et al. disclose a spring strut unit comprising: a cylinder, a chamber 13 is formed around the cylinder, the chamber is partially filled with an initially formable material; a spring plate 3 has a sleeve section 15 fitted around the cylinder, the formable material in the solid state will transmit supporting forces; the solidified formable material can encase part of the sleeve section, see figure 3, and as such is interpreted as a means for preventing rotation of the spring plate (see column 2 lines 61-64, see also figure 2 and column 3 lines 16-17, the moldable material is intended to positively lock the sleeve section in position).

Re-claims 2-4, see figure 1.

Re-claims 5 and 9, engagement elements 21 are located on the sleeve section 15, see figure 2.

Re-claims 6 and 15, the support ring 5 is circumferentially limited, furthermore, the ring does engage the spring plate and receives the moldable material, see figures 1 and/or 3, and is therefore broadly interpreted as having an engagement profile.

Re-claim 7, the chamber is broadly interpreted as a pocket.

Re-claim 8, the pocket or chamber extends below and edge of the support ring.

Re-claim 10, see figure 1, the ring is welded to the cylinder, the bottom portion of the chamber is interpreted as an engagement profile, since it will engage the moldable material.

Re-claim 11, see port 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,820

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 85 10 058.

Re-claim 1, DE '058 teaches a spring strut unit, comprising: a cylinder, a chamber formed around the cylinder (see figures 2-5) one embodiment teaches a ring 11 disposed in the chamber, the ring is interpreted as formed material and is used to augment the height of the spring plate; a spring plate 3 has a sleeve 4, the ring will transmit supporting forces.

Furthermore, DE '058 teaches a means for preventing rotation of the spring plate, such as radial projection 7 that interacts with recess 8 (figure 2) and pin 12 (figure 5).

However, DE '058 fails to clearly teach the anti-rotation feature utilized in the embodiment illustrated in figure 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to have provided the device of figure 4 of DE '058 with an anti-rotation feature as taught by DE '058, thus reducing wear between the spring plate and support ring by preventing unwanted rotation.

Page 5

Re-claims 2-3, see figure 4.

Re-claim 4, the opening at the top will receive the ring element 11.

Re-claims 6-8, figure 4 as modified by figure 2 or figure 5 meets the claim limitations, the recess 8 is a pocket.

Re-claim 10, see figures 2-5, note weld 9.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Benya et al., Tanabe et al., and Sydekum et al. each teach various engagement profiles between a spring plate and support ring, wherein the profile has at least one radial projection.
- 10. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, can be reached at 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

TJW

August 24, 2005

TROSPONIES.

Thomas William

Au 3683

8-24-05